

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,078	09/25/2003	Robert Parlee	parlee01.005	5824
25247 GORDON E N	7590 06/14/2007 IELSON		EXAMINER	
PATENT ATTORNEY, PC		KUHNS, ALLAN R		
57 CENTRAL PO BOX 782	ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROWLEY, MA	A 01969		1732	
	¢		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/671,078	PARLEE, ROBEF	PARLEE, ROBERT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allan Kuhns	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status		1				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ag	oril 2007.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 20,23 and 25-31 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20, 23 and 25-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the correctio	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have bee in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/671,078 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

1.Claims 20, 23 and 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The aspect in claim 25 of "applying" a mold having abutting parting planes to the joint" lacks support in the disclosure as filed". Applicant is relying on Figures 6-10 for support of this aspect and in particular refers to frame joints at 602 in Figure 6 and at 703 in Figure 7. Because there are no numerals designating the elements illustrated at 602 and 703, it is difficult to find support for the claim limitation at issue, particularly when it appears from the prosecution history that the claim limitation at issue may have arisen as an afterthought in an effort to distinguish the Bishop reference. Perhaps more significantly, it is not clear to the examiner as to why the use of a mold having abutting parting planes was not specifically noted in the disclosure as filed if applicant viewed such a feature as an element of his invention.

- 2.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3.Claims 25, 26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop (5,019,312) as set forth in previous Office actions in view of Trimble (4,986,949). The fabric layers 40 of Bishop extend into the mold parting plane,

Art Unit: 1732

resulting in the formation of "substantial portions of flash" which must be subsequently cut away, as disclosed at column 5, lines 11-22. But Trimble, also in a method of forming a bicycle frame, illustrates in Figures 2A and 2B and explicitly discloses closing the mold along lines of abutment (the parting plane) at column 19, lines 51-55, in a manner in which the layers do not extend into the parting plane. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate this teaching of Trimble into the method of Bishop in order to reduce or eliminate the amount of flash produced.

4.Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop in view of Trimble as applied to claims 25, 26 and 29 above, and further in view of Baron (4,954,209). Relevant teachings of Baron and reason for the combination with other prior art relied upon are as set forth in previous Office actions.

5. There needs to be a consistent use of terminology in this application (silicon or silicone).

6.Applicant's arguments filed April 4, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments concerning the lack of "abutting parting planes" during the practice of the process of Bishop are considered to be moot by the examiner, based on the additional reliance on the Trimble in this Office action.

7.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/671,078

Art Unit: 1732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cillan R. Kuhns

PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

6-1-07